

- 2.2 As Members will be aware the Coldharbour scheme will be promoted for Government grant support in the forthcoming bidding round and both KCC and TMBC remain optimistic about the funding prospects. When implemented, it will provide alternative accommodation in future for the occupiers of this site. That alternative provision would not be within the Green Belt and would follow policy criteria set out in policy CP20 of the Tonbridge and Malling Borough Core Strategy 2007.
- 2.3 The situation is that whilst there is clearly a present need for additional gypsy accommodation within the Borough, it is intended that this need will be substantially met when the Coldharbour project comes to fruition.

Length of Temporary Planning Permission

- 2.4 In the light of the delay in securing funding for Coldharbour project, I would advise Members that a 1 year consent is unlikely to be considered to be reasonable by an Inspector in considering an appeal against such a condition.
- 2.5 Having taken Counsel's advice, I recommend that 2 years is a reasonable period for temporary planning permission at this site in all the circumstances.

Refusal of Pitches at Coldharbour

- 2.6 On the point of whether weight would be given to any future assertion by the applicants that the Coldharbour site was unsuitable because of the "ethnicity" of other occupants, Counsel's advice is that it is unlikely that this matter can be considered within the ambit of planning and development control.
- 2.7 The Race Relations Act 1976 makes it unlawful to discriminate on racial grounds, including when considering housing and planning matters. The Act specifically states that discrimination by planning authorities in carrying out their planning functions is unlawful (s.19A). In addition, s.71 of the Act states that in carrying out their functions, specified authorities have a duty to eliminate unlawful racial discrimination and to promote racial equality of opportunity and good relations between persons of different racial groups. By taking into account in the course of determining a planning application, considerations put forward by an applicant with regard to their prospective neighbours' ethnicity, the Council risks falling foul of the prohibition on discrimination in the Race Relations Act.

Affordable Housing

- 2.8 The Council as Local Housing Authority has a duty to assess any homeless applicant to determine whether it has a duty to secure alternative accommodation. Homeless applicants who are deemed to be in priority need for accommodation, and who are homeless through no fault of their own, will usually be prioritised for rehousing in social housing. Priority need groups include those households with dependent children (or a pregnant member), and applicants who are vulnerable as

a result of mental or physical illness or disability, old age or other special reasons. Where the Council accepts the duty to rehouse a homeless household, they may be placed in Bed and Breakfast or temporary accommodation where necessary, and awarded a high priority on the housing register. Applicants will then need to check properties that are advertised through choice based lettings every two weeks, and place bids on any they are interested in. If/when they are the highest bidder for a property, they will be subject to the RSL's usual verification checks before being offered the tenancy.

2.9 To assess an individual household, they will be required to complete an assessment form and provide ID for every member of the household. They will then be placed into one of four priority bands and awarded points according to their housing need in accordance with the Council's housing allocations scheme.

2.10 Members will note that, in this case, the family left a Housing Association Property in East Malling to go to live at the application site.

Highway matters

2.11 KHS has provided supplementary advice that a reason for refusal on highway grounds is not sustainable in its view.

2.12 TM/02/01505/FL was granted permission in October 2002 for the construction of a double stable. Vehicle access for the proposal was via the existing gated access onto Sandy Lane. With the granting of this approval it has been accepted that the existing vehicle entrance, although not ideal, can accommodate the types of vehicles associated with a stable use and the associated traffic movements without undue detriment to highway safety. Another more recent application, TM/04/00281/FL, was for a similar residential proposal to that being considered under the current application. This proposal will also use the existing vehicle entrance onto Sandy Lane. It was therefore considered that, bearing in mind the previous stables approval, the traffic occasioned by a residential proposal would be acceptable. This application was refused in September 2007 but no highway reason for refusal was included on the decision notice. The 2004 application was retrospective and therefore the application site has now been occupied for some five years. In the time that the site has been occupied I am unaware of any adverse highway issues associated with the residential use of the site. The KCC crash database has been interrogated and shows that, although the access and adjacent highway geometry are not ideal, there has been no personal injury accident recorded in the vicinity in the last five years.

3. Consultees:

3.1 No further representations have been received other than supplementary KHS comments above.

4. Determining Issues:

- 4.1 A new Human Rights Interview has been conducted and any implications will be included in a supplementary report.
- 4.2 As advised above, the Coldharbour project now has planning permission but has not yet secured funding although this is being pursued.
- 4.3 All other determining issues are as detailed in my 28 October report.

5. Recommendation:

- 5.1 **Grant Planning Permission** as detailed by Letter dated 19.08.2009, Design and Access Statement dated 29.05.2009, Photographs dated 29.05.2009, Block Plan HT 902-01 dated 19.08.2009, Letter dated 10.02.2009, Location Plan dated 10.02.2009 subject to the following:

Conditions / Reasons

1. The occupation of the site hereby permitted shall be carried on only by Mr Tom Harber and Mrs Kathleen Harber who are gypsies as defined by paragraph 15 of ODPM Circular 01/2006 and by their resident dependants and shall be for a limited period being the period of 2 years from the date of this decision.

Reason: The site is located in an area where this development would not normally be allowed and it is the particular circumstances of this case that justify granting a temporary and personal planning permission.

2. When the site ceases to be occupied by those named in Condition 1 or at the end of 2 years from the date of this decision, whichever shall first occur, the use hereby permitted shall cease. Within 3 months of that date the land shall be restored to its condition before the use commenced and all caravans, structures, materials and equipment brought onto the land in connection with the use shall be removed.

Reason: In the interest of amenity.

3. The residential use hereby permitted shall be restricted to the stationing of 1 mobile home and 1 touring caravan.

Reason: In the interest of amenity.

4. Within 2 months of the date of this decision a scheme for the disposal of foul and surface water shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The approved scheme shall be implemented within 2 months of the date of the approval.

Reason: In order to prevent pollution of controlled waters.

5. No additional external lighting shall be erected within the site without the written approval of the Local Planning Authority.

Reason: In the interests of rural and visual amenity.

6. Within 1 month of this decision or prior to any replacement caravan being brought on site, details of an alternative external colour finish to the mobile home shall be submitted for the approval of the Local Planning Authority, and the approved details shall be carried out within 1 month of approval.

Reason: To ensure that the development does not harm the visual amenity of the locality.

7. The existing screen hedging shown on the approved plan shall be retained at a minimum height of 2m.

Reason: Pursuant to Section 197 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 and to protect the appearance and character of the site and locality.

8. Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 (or any order amending, revoking and re-enacting that Order) no fences or walls shall be erected unless planning permission has been granted on an application relating thereto.

Reason: In order to protect the appearance and character of the site and the wider rural locality.

Informatives

1. Regarding the requirements for a site licence under the Caravan Sites and Control of Development Act 1960, the applicant is advised to contact the Director of Health & Housing, Tonbridge & Malling Borough Council, Gibson Building, Gibson Drive, Kings Hill, West Malling, Kent, ME19 4LZ. Tel: (01732) 844522. (Q016)
2. The applicant is advised that the consent of the Environment Agency is required to operate a septic tank within this site. For advice concerning this matter please contact the Environment Agency at Orchard House, Endeavour Park, London Road, Addington, West Malling, Kent ME19 5SH.

Contact: Marion Geary